

Market value of restocking and landscape in red-legged partridge hunting: a study based on advertisements

Authors: Silvia Díaz-Fernández^{1*}, Beatriz Arroyo¹, Javier Viñuela¹, Isabel Patiño-Pascual² and Pere Riera³

¹ *Instituto de Investigación en Recursos Cinegéticos, IREC-CSIC-UCLM-JCCM, Ronda de Toledo s/n, 13005 – Ciudad Real, Spain.*

² *Department of Applied Economics, Autonomous University of Barcelona, Campus de Bellaterra Edificio B, 08193 – Cerdanyola del Vallès, Barcelona, Spain.*

³ *Institute of Environmental Science and Technology, Autonomous University of Barcelona, Campus de Bellaterra Edificio C, 08193 – Cerdanyola del Vallès, Barcelona, Spain.*

*Corresponding author - Address: Instituto de Investigación en Recursos Cinegéticos, Ronda de Toledo s/n, 13005 Ciudad Real, Spain. Telephone: +34 635898211; Fax: +34 926295451. Email address: silviadiazfernandez@gmail.com

Running title: Market value of hunting management.

ABSTRACT

Context

In Spain, the release of farm-reared partridges to hunt is increasingly used, despite being thought to affect sustainability of wild stocks and to reduce the need of natural habitats for game.

Aims

To explore the market value as a possible incentive for current management, we evaluated within a segment of the red-legged partridge hunting market whether the use of farm-reared birds (as opposed to wild stock) or the naturalization of landscapes are affecting hunts market price.

Methods

We considered estates that sell individual hunting days and contacted buyers through advertisements. We gathered all advertisements for the 2010 season in 4 top hunting magazines and 2 websites, and conducted a telephone survey to record price and associated characteristics of hunts. We looked for relationships between price and the characteristics of sold hunts using general linear models.

Key results

Hunts varied largely in price, but neither restocking nor naturalization of the landscape explained price variation, at least within our sample of estates. The absence of price difference between wild or released partridges could be reflecting the current difficulty to distinguish both kinds of products in the market.

Conclusions

Market forces alone might not be promoting the public interest of the sustainable use of wild stocks versus industrial hunting based on farm-reared birds.

Implications

If promoting conservation and sustainable use of wild stocks is considered a major goal of official institutions in charge of biodiversity conservation and game management, they should promote reliable ways of identifying estates selling wild or restocked partridges, and they should also evaluate benefits and costs associated to hunting farm-reared birds in relation to wild birds, to help internalizing them if necessary. A study of determinants of hunters demand would also help explaining variation in supply and market prices.

KEYWORDS

54 *Alectoris rufa*, Spain, farm-reared partridges, commercialization, market value, restocking,
55 small game, hunting management.
56
57

INTRODUCTION

The red-legged partridge (*Alectoris rufa*) is a good example of game species with strong social and economic importance. This is a farmland species which has been traditionally (as is today) hunted in most of its distribution range, Southwest Europe. Within its range, this bird is most abundant in Spain (Blanco-Aguilar et al. 2003), where it is extensively hunted. Additionally, it is also frequently hunted in Portugal, France, Italy, or the United Kingdom (an introduced population, in the latter case) (Delibes 1972; Fontoura 1992; López-Ontiveros 1994; Bernabéu 2002; Martínez et al. 2002). In Spain, small game represents 98% of all animals hunted, and generates more average number of hunting days per hunter than big game. The estimated total amount that hunters spend on small game hunting is also greater than on big game (Ministerio de Medio Ambiente y Medio Rural y Marino [MARM] 2006). Partridges amount to a quarter of all small game animals harvested annually (MARM 2006) and are widespread in Iberian ecosystems, where they play a key role as prey of many Iberian predators (Calderón 1977; Herranz 2000; Duarte and Vargas 2001; Virgós and Travaini 2005).

In Spain, hunting estates can be managed for commercial or for non-commercial hunting (we considered hunting is commercial when the main aim of the organization is profitability). The high demand of red-legged partridge hunts has led to widespread management practices usually focused on increasing the availability of birds to be hunted. A currently common practice is the release of farm-reared partridges (González-Redondo 2004). Partridges released annually amounted to 350000 during the early 1980s, and are currently estimated between 3 and 6 million (Delibes 1992; Pérez-Pérez 1992; Garrido 2002; Martínez et al. 2002; González-Redondo et al. 2010). Although current numbers are not precise due to the alleged occurrence of illegal releases (Garrido 2002), it is interesting that these estimates are higher than the declared annual national harvest (3.3-3.5 million, MARM 2010). It is also known that releases have become relatively widespread (e.g. 38% of hunting estates apply for licenses to release red-legged partridges in the region of Castilla-La Mancha, Ríos-Saldaña 2010), although they are applied with very different intensity among areas and estates (Arroyo et al. 2012).

There is a concern among hunters and scientists alike about the spread of this technique (Delibes 1972; Garrido 2002; Blanco-Aguilar et al. 2008; Sokos et al. 2008). Negative consequences on wild populations of farm-reared partridge stocking have been highlighted in many scientific studies (Dowell 1992). These negative consequences include changes in

population genetic pool through hybridization (Barbanera et al. 2010; Blanco-Aguilar et al. 2008), overhunting of wild populations (Dowell 1992), lower survival and reproductive success of farm partridges in the wild (Gortázar et al. 2000; Millán et al. 2001; Duarte et al. 2011; Casas et al. 2012), disease spread by farm-reared partridges (Gortázar et al. 2006; Villanúa et al. 2008), and loss of important adaptive behavioural traits (Randi 2008). The determinants of demand for partridge hunts are not precisely known, but farm-reared partridges are widely viewed by hunters as being of lower quality than wild stock (Vazquez-Guadarrama 2012; Vargas 2008), so it could be expected that market prices reflect this preference.

On the other hand, Arroyo et al. (2012) showed that areas managed for commercial red-legged partridge hunting retain more areas of natural vegetation compared to non-commercial estates, and may thus have higher conservation value, as natural value of farmland areas increases with the presence of natural vegetation (Halladay and Gilmour 1995; Blondel and Aronson 1999; Olivero et al. 2011). However, it is not known whether this relationship reflects a conscientious aim to favour partridges, as their densities are higher in areas of mixed farmland with natural vegetation (Lucio and Purroy 1992; Fortuna 2002). Moreover, the use of farm-reared partridges may theoretically relax the necessity of maintaining good habitats to sustain wild populations, so the use of this technique may also have negative consequences on the environment, beyond the impact on wild partridge populations, unless habitat naturalization is also a driver of hunters demand.

We wanted to evaluate to what extent the use of farm-reared partridges in hunting estates or the maintenance of natural landscapes are currently affecting hunts market price, to shed some light on the commercial motivations for their inclusion in current management.

STUDY AREA

We centred our study in Spain, where hunting is allowed in 77% of the Spanish territory, and 88% of this area (29000 hunting estates) is organised in hunting estates that are privately managed (MARM 2006). Thus, hunting management goals are set from a private point of view. Owners of the hunting rights are most frequently individual persons (75% of the estates in Castilla-La Mancha, Bernabéu 2002), although enterprises or associations are sometimes promoters too. Owners of the hunting rights are not necessarily owners of the land, so land management decisions may be taken by different persons than hunting management decisions. More than one percent of the Spanish population (MARM 2006) and around 70000 foreign hunters hunt every year in those estates (Reginfo 2008). From the economic movement that

hunting creates, approximately 88 percent corresponds to small game, which generates a higher average number of hunting days per hunter. Additionally, most foreign hunters visit Spain to hunt small game, and specifically red-legged partridge (Junta de Castilla y León 2000).

METHODS

In Spain, hunts may be self consumed by the owners of the hunting rights, or else sold. In the latter case, hunts may be sold for the whole season (or more than one season) to a group of hunters, or they may be sold as individual hunting days (with either an overall price or paying per animal shot) (Bernabéu 2002). We restricted this study to commercial estates that sell individual hunting days. The main commercialized modalities to hunt partridges are driven and walked-up shooting. In driven shooting, assistants beat the land to flush partridges and drive them towards a strategically arranged line of hunters. In walked-up shooting, hunters (with or without dogs) shoot the birds as they encounter them (Buenestado et al. 2009). We studied here both of those modalities.

Bernabéu (2002) indicated that fidelity was one of the reasons for hunting in particular estates, because small-game hunters usually bought hunting days in the same estates, season after season. Moreover, he said hunters usually got new contacts through friends, and thus fidelity or the word of mouth are basic for a big part of the hunting market (at least around 1997, when that study was conducted). However, some of the commercial hunts are advertised in hunting magazines or on the internet. Here, we considered only this part of the market, because nuances related to fidelity affecting prices could shade the effect of the characteristics we wanted to study. Thus, we considered the red-legged partridge market that use advertisements to put in contact sellers and buyers.

Data collection

We used a telephone survey among hunting sellers to gather prices and some management characteristics of driven shooting and walked-up shooting hunts. We collected contact data on hunting magazines and on the internet, gathering all individual hunts advertisements from 2 specialized webs (www.vivahunting.com and www.elcotodecaza.com), and the main 4 specialized magazines in Spain (Trofeo, Jara y Sedal, Federcaza and Caza Castilla La Mancha, issues of September, October and November 2010). Commercial hunts may vary in the number of birds that a hunter is allowed (or expected) to hunt, on the number of hunters taking part in a hunt, or (in the case of walked-up shoots) on whether it is possible to hunt

alternative game without additional cost. All these variables could affect price. Other complements (not considered in the study) can also affect prices. Therefore, we considered only 2 products for the study, fixing common values for some of those complements. As these values do not usually appear in advertisements, we asked to 3 experts (heads of hunting or hunting managers associations) for their opinion about what would be common values for these complements. First, we considered a driven shooting day for one person, being able to hunt up to 100 partridges without additional cost, and including usual individual staff (2 people) for the hunter, charge of firearms and lunch. This product did not include lodging. Second, we considered a walked-up shooting day for one person, being allowed to hunt up to 3 partridges without additional costs, including staff (1 people) for the hunter, but no charge of firearms, neither lunch nor lodging.

We gathered 117 different telephone numbers to contact sellers whose hunts could meet our requirements. Within the characteristics included in these usually brief advertisements, 12 out of 117 said that partridges were wild or genetically pure, 8 gave insight about landscape and 6 about the size of the group taking part in the hunt, showing that these characteristics are sometimes viewed by the seller as drivers of consumer choice. The other characteristics cited were the region where the estate was located (in 108 advertisements), the estate area (18), price (14), presence of game keeper (2), general quality (7), temporal availability to hunt (5), and the legal category of “intensive estate” (11). This latter variable relates to a legal permission in the estate to release farm-reared birds throughout the hunting season without numerical limits (Díaz-Fernández et al. 2012). Thus, with this last information, it is almost explicitly understood that farm-reared partridges are released, although only 2 of these 11 advertisements specifically stated also that partridges came from farms.

Telephone calls followed a fixed guide (Table 1). Information recorded was price, if farm-reared partridges were released in the estate where the hunt was going to take place, the maximum number of hunters that were going to participate in the same hunt, and the landscape. The latter variable was categorised as “mainly agriculture”, “mixture” or “mainly naturalized landscape”. For walked-up shooting hunts we also collected information on whether it was possible to hunt wild rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*) (the most important alternative small game species in the area) without additional cost (Table 1). From the 117 contacts attempted, we obtained 47 successful contacts, 29 providing information for driven shooting and 46 for walked-up shooting (28 were successful contacts for both modalities). Unsuccessful contacts were due to telephone numbers being wrong, to sellers not offering the product we required, to sellers not providing the data we required, or to all hunts being

194 already sold for the season in course (and thus the offer being closed). 82% of the final
195 successful sample corresponded to hunting estates located in Castilla La Mancha (the most
196 important hunting region for partridges in Spain, Ríos-Saldaña 2008), the remainder being
197 distributed around Andalucía, Catalunya, Castilla y León, Extremadura and Madrid (Fig. 1).

198 199 *Statistical analysis*

200 We tested whether driven shooting hunt prices were explained by the maximum number of
201 hunters taking part in the hunt, by the naturalization of the landscape in the estate or by both
202 variables at the same time (Table 2). We did not test for the effect of releases as there were
203 not enough sellers offering driven hunts of wild partridges (3 out of 29, Table 3). We also
204 rejected to test the effect of the region where the estate is located (as indicative of income
205 variability) in price due to the small sample size corresponding to all but one region (Table 3).
206 We used a generalized model with the R function glm (R Development Core Team 2009) and
207 a Gaussian distribution of errors, testing both linear and log-linear response functions. We
208 assumed a variable would explain part of the hunt price when the analysis of variance (type
209 III, with the R function Anova) gave a p value less than 0.05 for this.
210 Similarly, we tested whether the walked-up hunt price could be explained by the use of farm-
211 reared partridges, by the maximum number of hunters taking part in the hunt, by the
212 naturalization of the landscape in the estate, by the possibility of hunting wild rabbit without
213 additional cost, or by different combinations of these variables (Table 2). We used the same
214 type of models and criteria as for driven shooting hunts. Descriptive data on sample size, and
215 mean price (\pm standard deviations) for variables studied are shown in Table 3 for discussion.

216 217 **RESULTS**

218 In the market we explored, our data showed that there was a large variation in prices of
219 walked-up hunts (Fig. 2), but the price of a hunt was not explained significantly by any of the
220 evaluated variables (Table 2): whether it consisted of wild or farm-reared red-legged
221 partridges, landscape naturalization, the possibility of hunting wild rabbit without additional
222 costs or the number of hunters taking part in the hunt (min=1, max=50).

223 For driven shooting hunts, we also found large variation in prices (Fig. 3). The offer of wild
224 partridge hunts was scarce (3 out of 29 sellers in our sample). Exploration of means of this
225 unbalanced sample did not suggest existence of price variation related to this (2717 euros vs
226 2781 euros, Table 3). Similarly, variation in hunts price was not significantly explained by

any of the analysed variables (Table 2): landscape naturalization or number of hunters taking part in the hunts, within the range recorded in our sample (min=1; max=20).

A summary table of the GLMs results is provided as supplementary material (Table S1).

DISCUSSION

Our results indicate that, at least in hunting estates that use advertisements on specialized journals or the internet as selling channels, the walked-up hunt price variation was not related to the use of farm-reared partridges. If hunting a wild red-legged partridge instead of a farm-reared one had higher value for hunters (as expected from their perceived higher quality; Vázquez-Guadarrama 2012, Vargas 2008, Delibes-Mateos et al. in press), when maximum agreed harvest is kept constant we would expect a higher price for a wild partridge hunting day, but we did not find this. One possible reason for this may be that releases may be perceived by hunters as a way to reduce harvest uncertainty. Lower quality would be thus compensated by lower uncertainty. This could explain the high value of farm-reared partridges in walked-up shooting hunts. Alternatively, an explanation for this may be a lack of trustworthy guarantee of the real origin of partridges when the hunter does not know directly the estate management or has not hunted previously there (which is the case of hunters that buy hunting days through the channels we are considering here). It has been pointed out that fraudulent selling of hunts with released partridges as if they were wild exists (Delibes 1992), although the extent of this practice is not known (see also Díaz-Fernández et al. 2012). This may reduce the expected effect of partridge wild origin on the hunt price, because hunters may assume the possibility of being cheated: whether consumers prefer wild or farm-reared partridges, the lack of guarantee on this characteristic reduces the possibilities of consumers to select the hunt in relation to their willingness to pay for this practice. Thus, it would be necessary to implement some way to allow hunters (and governmental agencies in charge of biodiversity conservation and game management) to unambiguously identify wild and farm-reared partridges, a recommendation also supported to avoid sanitary problems (Viñuela and Arroyo 2002). In relation to driven-shooting hunts, it is important to keep in mind that we were not able to test for price differences in relation to partridge origin given that sellers of wild red-legged partridge hunts were very few (3). Exploration of mean prices did not suggest price variation in relation to this characteristic, but our sample size limitation implies the need to be cautious on extending the same conclusion to this modality.

As stated above, the estimated total number of farm-reared partridges annually released in Spain lies between 3 and 6 million depending on the author. This large number of released

birds comes mainly from a small proportion of intensive estates, which have few legal restrictions for releasing unlimited numbers throughout the hunting season, and which provide mainly driven-shooting hunts (Arroyo et al. 2012). However, small-scale supplementation of wild stock with farm-reared birds is also widespread (Ríos-Saldaña 2002). The small number of sellers that offered hunts with only wild red-legged partridges in our sample (3 out of 29 in driven shooting, 15 out of 46 in walked-up shooting) agrees with a generalization of releases among estates, as reported in the above-mentioned studies. Additionally, it agrees with the perception of managers that releases are necessary to maintain profitability of commercial hunting (Authors, unpublished data). The lack of price difference between hunts in restocked vs wild stock estates, whatever the reason for it, suggests that the market is not giving incentives for changing the widespread practice of releasing. Future research could explore more specifically the interactions related to farm-reared and wild partridges.

Similarly, our results did not show any relationship between hunt price variation in our sample and the presence of natural habitats in the landscape. Hunting has been claimed to be associated with the retention of natural habitats in the UK (Tapper 1999, Robertson et al. 2001, Duckworth et al. 2003). Similarly, commercial red-legged partridge hunting estates in Central Spain seem to have a higher proportion of natural vegetation and a lower proportion of farmland than non-commercial ones (Arroyo et al. 2012). But reasons for this relationship are not clear, and other studies have led to varying conclusions on the role of commercialization as an incentive to manage natural habitats. A study of fee hunting of waterfowl on private lands in Oregon indicated that the financial return was an incentive for farmers to improve waterfowl habitat (Rasker et. al. 1991), while in Utah, less than 25% of the landowners who charged a fee for hunting improved actively wildlife habitats (Jordon and Workman 1989). In the case of red-legged partridge, one possible reason for the relationship between commercialization and more naturalized landscapes would be the possible hunter preference for more naturalized landscapes to hunt (willingness to pay more for these sceneries). However, the absence of effect of the naturalization of the landscape in the market price that we found in this work does not support that explanation, and implies that this characteristic of red-legged partridge hunting estates is probably not being managed to increase hunts market prices. Managers could be considering landscape as an indirect way to increase revenue, as landscape is associated to partridge abundance (Lucio and Purroy 1992; Fortuna 2002; Buenestado et al. 2008; Vargas et al. 2011), so a more naturalized landscape may result in more hunting days and thus more revenue, even if landscape does not increase hunts market price. Alternatively, commercial hunting estates could be located in areas where

other more profitable agrarian uses would be less productive, and thus the relationship of commercial hunting with landscape would not be a direct consequence of hunting management. Finally, managers could be managing habitat with goals different to revenue. Research on this issue would be interesting to understand the relationship between commercial hunting and habitat conservation that has been previously mentioned, and assess how long-lasting is this potential benefit for conservation attributed to hunting. Globally, the absence of relationships in our results between hunts prices and any of our explanatory variables may also be attributed to our small sample size ($n_{\text{driven}} = 29$, $n_{\text{walked-up}} = 46$); if sample size was larger, statistical robustness of results would also be larger. However, our work while gathering data showed that the number of sellers who sell driven or walked-up hunting days through the internet or specialized journals in Spain is low (we found 117 advertisements selling one or both of them), and thus our sample size represents around a half of the whole universe of sellers. We therefore believe that our results are representative for this fraction of the market. Thus, we conclude that for this way of commercialization, naturalization of habitat or management related to game conservation do not strongly affect hunts price, although subtle effects may exist and not be statistically detected with our small sample size. Further studies should work on increasing the sample size and introducing alternative explanatory variables (e.g. the region where the estate is located, or the distance to population centres or other attractions) that may shed light on other factors explaining the large price variability between estates, although these studies will have to previously solve a methodological problem we faced: we found that the length of our questionnaire was in the acceptable limit for a telephone survey and thus, to obtain more data related to each hunt price in each estate, a different approach should be used. Finally, we have to take in mind that our sample only represents a minor part of the hunting market; therefore, although this study do not show any influence of the variables considered on hunts price, we cannot discard such effect in the rest of the market. Our study is just a first step in a largely unexplored topic. Further studies of the red-legged partridge hunting market should also consider the role played by fidelity and the word of mouth to contact sellers, the most widespread method, to clearly understand the current market value of management practices and the components of the red-legged partridge hunts price.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by the European Commission under the 7th Framework Programme for Research and Technological Development through project HUNT (212160, FP7-ENV-

2007-1). S. Díaz-Fernández had a predoctoral grant jointly financed by the European Social Fund and by the Junta de Comunidades de Castilla La Mancha, in the framework of the Operational Programme PRINCET 2005-2010. We thank M. Delibes-Mateos for suggestions during this work, and the three anonymous reviewers whose comments helped us to improve the final manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

Arroyo, B., Delibes-Mateos, M., Díaz-Fernández, S., and Viñuela, J. (2012). Hunting management in relation to profitability aims: red-legged partridge hunting in central Spain. *European Journal of Wildlife Research* DOI: 10.1007/s10344-012-0632-4.

Barbanera, F., Pergams, O. R. W., Guerrini, M., Forcina, G., Panayides, P., and Dini, F. (2010). Genetic consequences of intensive management in game birds. *Biological Conservation* **143**, 1259-1268.

Bernabéu, R. (2002). 'La caza en Castilla La Mancha y sus estrategias de desarrollo.' (Ediciones de la Universidad de Castilla La Mancha: Cuenca).

Blanco-Aguilar, J. A., González-Jara, P., Ferrero, M. E., Sánchez-Barbudo, I., Virgós, E., Villafuerte, R., and Dávila, J. A. (2008). Assessment of game restocking contributions to anthropogenic hybridization: the case of the Iberian red-legged partridge. *Animal Conservation* **11**, 535-545.

Blanco-Aguilar, J. A., Virgós, E., and Villafuerte, R. (2003). La perdiz roja. In 'Atlas de las aves reproductoras de España'. (Ed. R. Martí and J. C. del Moral) pp 212-213. (Dirección General de Conservación de la Naturaleza and Sociedad Española de Ornitología, Madrid).

Blondel, J., and Aronson, J. (1999). 'Biology and Wildlife of the Mediterranean Region'. (Oxford University Press, Oxford).

Buenestado, F. J., Ferreras, P., Delibes-Mateos, M., Tortosa, F. S., Blanco-Aguilar, J. A., and Villafuerte, R. (2008). Habitat selection and home range size of red-legged partridge in Spain. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* **126**, 158-162.

Buenestado, F. J., Ferreras, P., Blanco-Aguilar, J. A., Tortosa, F. S., and Villafuerte, R. (2009). Survival and causes of mortality among wild red-legged partridges *Alectoris rufa* in southern Spain: implications for conservation. *Ibis* **151**, 720-730.

Calderón, J. (1977). El papel de la perdiz roja (*Alectoris rufa*) en la dieta de los predadores ibéricos. *Acta Vertebrata* **4**, 61-126.

361 Casas F, Mougeot, F., Sánchez-Barbudo, I., Dávila, J. A., and Viñuela, J. (2012). Fitness
 362 consequences of anthropogenic hybridization in wild red-legged partridge (*Alectoris rufa*,
 363 *Phasianidae*) populations. *Biological Invasions* **14**, 295-305.

364 Delibes, M. (1972). 'La caza en España.' (Alianza Editorial: Madrid.)

365 Delibes, J. (1992). Gestión de los cotos de la perdiz roja. In 'La perdiz roja: gestión del
 366 hábitat.' (Ed. La Caixa) pp 141-146. (La Caixa: Barcelona.)

367 Díaz-Fernández, S., Viñuela, J., and Arroyo, B. (2012). Harvest of red-legged partridge in
 368 central Spain. *Journal of Wildlife Management* **76**, 1354-1363.

369 Dowell, S. D. (1992). Problems and pitfalls of gamebird reintroduction and restocking. *Gibier*
 370 *Faune Sauvage* **9**, 773-780.

371 Duarte, J., Farfán, M. A., and Vargas, J. M. (2011). New data on mortality, home range, and
 372 dispersal of red-legged partridges (*Alectoris rufa*) released in a mountain range. *European*
 373 *Journal of Wildlife Research* **57**, 675-678.

374 Duarte, J., and Vargas, J. M. (2001). Mamíferos depredadores de nidos de perdiz roja
 375 (*Alectoris rufa*) en olivares del sur de España. *Galemys* **13**, 47-58.

376 Duckworth, J. C., Firbank, L. G., Stuart, R. C., and Yamamoto, S. (2003). Changes in land
 377 cover and parcel size of British lowland woodlands over the last century in relation to game
 378 management. *Landscape Research* **28**, 171-182.

379 Fontoura, A. P. (1992). Importance socio-économique de la chasse a la perdrix rouge
 380 (*Alectoris rufa*) au Portugal. *Gibier Faune Sauvage* **9**, 871-878.

381 Fortuna, M. A. (2002). Selección de hábitat de la perdiz roja *Alectoris rufa* en el período
 382 reproductor en relación con las características del paisaje de un agrosistema de La Mancha
 383 (España). *Ardeola* **49**, 59-66.

384 Garrido, J. L. (2002). Capturas de perdiz roja. In 'Aportaciones a la gestión sostenible de la
 385 caza'. (Ed. FEDENCA-EEC) PP 141-147. (FEDENCA-EEC: Madrid)

386 González-Redondo, P. (2004). Un caso de cambio en el manejo de los recursos cinegéticos: la
 387 historia de la cría en cautividad de la perdiz roja en España. *Estudios agrosociales y*
 388 *pesqueros* **204**, 179-203.

389 González-Redondo, P., Delgado-Pertinez, M., Toribio, S., Ruiz, F. A., Mena, Y., Caravaca, F.
 390 P., and Castel, J. M. (2010). Characterisation and typification of the red-legged partridge
 391 (*Alectoris rufa*) game farms in Spain. *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research* **8**, 624-633.

392 Gortázar, C., Acevedo, P., Ruis-Fons, F., and Vicente, J. (2006). Disease risks and
 393 overabundance of game species. *European Journal of Wildlife Management* **52**, 81-87.

394 Gortázar, C., Villafuerte, R., and Martín, M. (2000). Success of traditional restocking of red-
 395 legged partridge for hunting purposes in areas of low density of northeast Spain, Aragón.
 396 *Zeitschrift fur Jagdwissenschaft* **46**, 23-30.

397 Halladay, P., and Gilmour, D. A. (Ed.) (1995). 'Conserving biodiversity outside protected
 398 areas. The role of traditional agro-ecosystems.' (IUCN: Cambridge).

399 Herranz, J. (2000). 'Efectos de la depredación y del control de depredadores sobre la caza
 400 menor en Castilla-La Mancha.' Dissertation, Universidad Autónoma, Madrid.

401 Jordan, L.A., and Workman, J. P. (1989). Economics and management of fee hunting for deer
 402 and elk in Utah. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* **17**, 482-487.

403 Junta de Castilla y León (2000). 'Desarrollo del turismo cinegético en Castilla y León.'
 404 (Consejería de Medio Ambiente, Junta de Castilla y León: Valladolid.)

405 López-Ontiveros, A. (1994). Caza, actividad agraria y geografía en España. *Documents*
 406 *d'anàlisi geogràfica* **24**, 111-130.

407 Lucio, A. J., and Purroy, F. J. (1992). Red-legged partridge (*Alectoris rufa*) habitat selection
 408 in northwest Spain. *Gibier Faune Sauvage* **9**, 417-429.

409 Martínez, J., Viñuela, J., and Villafuerte, R. (2002). Socio-economic aspects of gamebird
 410 hunting, hunting bags and assessment of the status of gamebird population in REGHAB
 411 countries. Report on Workpackage 1 of the REGHAB Project (Instituto de Investigación en
 412 Recursos Cinegéticos: Ciudad Real).

413 Ministerio de Medio Ambiente y Medio Rural y Marino [MARM]. (2006). 'Anuario de
 414 estadística forestal.' (MARM: Madrid.)

415 Ministerio de Medio Ambiente y Medio Rural y Marino [MARM]. (2010). 'Anuario de
 416 estadística forestal.' (MARM: Madrid.)

417 Millán, J., Gortázar, C., and Villafuerte, R. (2001). Marked difference in the splachnometry of
 418 farm-bred and wild red-legged partridge (*Alectoris rufa*). *Poultry Science* **80**, 972-975.

419 Olivero, J., Márquez, A. L., and Arroyo, B. (2011). Modelización de las áreas agrícolas y
 420 forestales de alto valor natural en España. Report to the Spanish Ministry of Environment
 421 (MARM: Madrid).

422 Pérez-Pérez, F. (1992). La perdiz roja, factor de revalorización de las tierras más pobres y
 423 deprimidas de España. *Nuestra Cabaña* **233**, 50-55.

424 R Development Core Team (2009). 'R: A language and environment for statistical
 425 computing.' (R Foundation for Statistical Computing: Vienna.)

426 Randi, E. (2008). Detecting hybridization between wild species and their domesticated
 427 relatives. *Molecular Ecology* **17**, 285-293.

428 Rasker, R., Johnson, R. L., and Cleaves, D. (1991). The market for waterfowl hunting on
 429 private agricultural land in western Oregon. Research Bulletin 70 (Forest Research
 430 Laboratory of the Oregon State University: Corvallis).

431 Reginfo, J. I. (2008). Un segmento del turismo internacional en auge: el turismo de caza.
 432 *Cuad Turismo* **22**, 187-210.

433 Ríos-Saldaña, C. A. (2010). 'Los planes técnicos de caza de Castilla-La Mancha y su
 434 aplicación en la gestión y conservación e las especies cinegéticas.' Dissertation, Universidad
 435 de Castilla La Mancha, Ciudad Real.

436 Robertson, P.A., Park, K. J., and Barton, A. F. (2001). Loss of heather *Calluna vulgaris*
 437 moorland in the Scottish uplands: the role of red grouse *Lagopus lagopus scoticus*
 438 management. *Wildlife Biology* **7**, 11-16.

439 Sokos, C. K., Birtsas, P. K., and Tsachalidis, E. P. (2008). The aims of galliforms release and
 440 choice of techniques. *Wildlife Biology* **14**, 412-422.

441 Tapper, S. C. (Ed.) (1999). 'A question of balance. Game animals and their role in the British
 442 countryside.' (The Game Conservancy Trust: Fordingbridge.)

443 Vargas, J.M. (2008). 'Perdices de colores.' (Otero Ediciones: Madrid.)

444 Vargas, J.M., Duarte, J., and Farfán, M. A. (2011). Red-legged partridge (*Alectoris rufa*)
 445 chick survival in relation to habitat structure in Mediterranean farmlands. In 'XXX IUGB
 446 Congress and Perdix XIII'. (Ed. M. Puigcerver, J. D. Rodríguez-Tejreiro and R. Burner) pp.
 447 300. (IUGB: Barcelona.)

448 Vazquez-Guadarrama, C. (2012). 'Análisis Sociológico del Cazador Español.' MSc thesis,
 449 Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Ciudad Real.

450 Villanúa, D., Pérez-Rodríguez, L., Casas, F., Alzaga, V., Acevedo, P., Viñuela, J., and
 451 Gortázar, C. (2008). Sanitary risks of red-legged partridge releases: introduction of parasites.
 452 *European Journal of Wildlife Research* **54**, 199-204.

453 Virgos, E., and Travaini, A. (2005). Relationship between small-game hunting and carnivore
 454 diversity in central Spain. *Biodiversity and Conservation* **14**, 3475-3486.

455 Figure 1: Regional distribution of the red-legged partridge hunts we studied in 2010 in Spain
456 (location of 11% of them was not recorded).

457 Figure 2: Distribution of red-legged partridge walk-up shooting day prices (euro) for hunts
458 with (black) and without (white) releases, from the telephone survey. In 2010, and in Spain.

459 Figure 3: Distribution of red-legged partridge driven shooting day prices for hunts with
460 (black) and without (white) releases, from the telephone survey. In 2010, and in Spain.

461

462 Table 1. Information recorded on red-legged partridge hunts through telephone surveys in
 463 2010, in Spain: Information asked and variables derived from those questions.

| | Questions asked in the telephone call | Variables (units) |
|--|--|---|
| Driven shooting | How much does a red-legged partridge driven shooting hunting day cost, being permitted to hunt until 100 partridges? Including usual individual staff (2 people) for the hunter, charge of firearms and lunch. Not including lodging nor taking hunted birds home. | Price-driven (euros per hunt) |
| | Are partridges for hunting farm-reared or wild ones? | Releases-driven (yes/no) |
| | How many hunters, maximum, would take place in this hunt? | Hunters-driven (number of hunters) |
| | The area where the hunt would take place, is mainly agricultural, mainly naturalized, or a mixture of both? | Landscape (agriculture/mixture/naturalized landscape) |
| Walked-up shooting | How much does a red-legged partridge walked-up shooting hunting day cost, being permitted to hunt until 3 partridges? Including lunch, staff (1 person), but not including lodging or charge of firearms. | Price-walked up (euros per hunt) |
| | Are partridges for hunting farm-reared or wild ones? | Releases-walked up (yes/no) |
| | How many hunters, maximum, would take place in this hunt? | Hunters-walked up (number of hunters) |
| | The area where the hunt would take place, is mainly agricultural, mainly naturalized, or a mixture of both? | Landscape-walked up (agriculture/mixture/naturalized landscape) |
| | For the same price, wild rabbit is permitted to be hunted? | Allowed to hunt rabbit (yes/no) |
| Name (not family name) of the person interviewed | | |
| Other relevant comments | | |

464

465 Table 2. Combinations of explanatory variables in the tested models explaining red-legged
 466 partridge hunts price in 2010 in Spain.

| | Hunters (number of hunters) | Landscape (agriculture / mixture / naturalized) | Releases (yes / no) | Rabbit (yes / no) |
|-----------|--------------------------------|--|------------------------|----------------------|
| Models - | x | x | - | - |
| driven | x | - | - | - |
| shooting | - | x | - | - |
| | x | x | x | x |
| | x | x | x | - |
| | x | - | x | - |
| | - | - | x | - |
| | x | - | - | - |
| Models - | - | x | - | - |
| walked-up | - | - | - | x |
| shooting | x | x | - | x |
| | - | x | x | x |
| | - | x | x | - |
| | - | - | x | x |
| | x | x | - | - |
| | x | - | - | x |
| | - | x | - | x |
| | x | - | x | x |

467

468

469 Table 3. Sample size and mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum price for the
 470 different categories of the studied variables of red-legged partridge hunts and hunting
 471 methods in 2010, in Spain.

| Variable | Category | Driven shooting-price | | | | | Walked-up shooting price | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------|--------------|------|------|--------------------------|------|--------------|------|------|
| | | n | MEAN | STD. DEV. | MIN. | MAX. | n | MEAN | STD. DEV. | MIN. | MAX. |
| Releases | Yes | 26 | 2736 | 965.4 | 1140 | 4500 | 31 | 279 | 100.8 | 100 | 500 |
| | No | 3 | 2716 | 957.0 | 1700 | 3600 | 15 | 248 | 114.3 | 100 | 500 |
| Maximum number of hunters* | - | 29 | 2734 | 947.4 | 1140 | 4500 | 46 | 269 | 105.1 | 100 | 500 |
| Landscape | Mainly agriculture | 3 | 2383 | 1037.2 | 1450 | 3500 | 5 | 239 | 93.2 | 100 | 330 |
| | Mixture | 15 | 2628 | 782.9 | 1140 | 3600 | 23 | 268 | 116.4 | 100 | 500 |
| | Mainly naturalized | 11 | 2974 | 1150.0 | 1440 | 4500 | 18 | 279 | 96.4 | 130 | 500 |
| Rabbit | Yes | 0 | - | - | - | - | 33 | 258 | 95.7 | 120 | 500 |
| | No | 0 | - | - | - | - | 13 | 296 | 125.9 | 100 | 500 |
| Region | Andalucía | 1 | 1600 | 0 | 1600 | 1600 | 1 | 350 | 0 | 350 | 350 |
| | Castilla La Mancha | 25 | 2725 | 882.8 | 1140 | 4500 | 39 | 268 | 107.0 | 100 | 500 |
| | Castilla y León | 0 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 350 | 70.7 | 300 | 400 |
| | Catalunya | 2 | 2755 | 1845 | 1450 | 4060 | 1 | 220 | 0 | 220 | 220 |
| | Extremadura | 1 | 4050 | 0 | 4050 | 4050 | 1 | 330 | 0 | 330 | 330 |
| | Madrid | 0 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 158 | 81.3 | 100 | 215 |

472 *Note that this row also corresponds to the basic summary statistics of the whole sample.